Artificial Intelligence in Digital Marketing: Enhancing Customer Engagement and Brand Performance

Zara Ahmad
Student – Horizon University College
Ajman, UAE

Mohammad Nabeel Babar
Student – Horizon University College
Ajman, UAE

***Abstract*- The proliferation of digital channels, combined with the exponential growth of consumer data, has fundamentally reshaped the landscape of modern marketing. Artificial intelligence (AI) has emerged as the central technological force enabling brands to transition from broadcast-style communication to highly personalized, data-driven engagement at scale. This review paper systematically examines how AI technologies—encompassing machine learning, natural language processing (NLP), computer vision, and generative AI—are transforming digital marketing practice across four critical dimensions: customer engagement personalization, predictive analytics and customer lifetime value (CLV) optimization, conversational marketing through chatbots and virtual assistants, and AI-driven content creation. We survey the academic and practitioner literature published between 2017 and 2025, analyse landmark implementations, and critically assess empirical evidence for performance improvements in brand key performance indicators (KPIs) including click-through rates, conversion rates, customer retention, and return on advertising spend (ROAS). We further identify persistent challenges including algorithmic bias, consumer privacy concerns, data governance requirements under GDPR and CCPA, and the interpretability gap in AI-driven decision-making. Our synthesis concludes with a research agenda for the field and managerial implications for brand strategists adopting AI-enabled marketing frameworks.**

Keywords: Artificial intelligence, digital marketing, customer engagement, personalization, predictive analytics, natural language processing, generative AI, brand performance, chatbots, programmatic advertising

1. INTRODUCTION

Digital marketing expenditure reached an estimated USD 667 billion globally in 2024, with forecasts projecting continued growth to over USD 870 billion by 2027 [1]. Within this landscape, the capacity to deliver the right message, to the right consumer, at the right moment, through the right channel, has become the defining competitive differentiator. Classical rule-based marketing automation systems, while effective at scale, operate on predetermined logic trees incapable of adapting to the nuanced, non-linear decision journeys that characterize modern consumer behaviour. Artificial intelligence addresses this limitation by enabling systems that learn from data, identify latent patterns, make probabilistic predictions, and optimize in real time without explicit reprogramming [2].

The integration of AI into digital marketing is not monolithic. It encompasses a spectrum of technologies and applications: supervised learning models that predict purchase propensity and churn; unsupervised clustering algorithms that segment audiences without predefined labels; reinforcement learning systems that optimize bid strategies in programmatic advertising auctions; transformer-based language models that generate and personalize ad copy; and computer vision models that analyse brand imagery for aesthetic consistency and competitive benchmarking [3]. Together, these capabilities are enabling a paradigm shift from mass marketing to what Kotler et al. [4] have termed "Marketing 5.0"—a human-technology synergy in which AI amplifies the marketer's capacity for empathy and creativity rather than replacing it.

This review is organized as follows. Section II provides a taxonomy of AI technologies in digital marketing. Section III examines AI-driven personalization and customer engagement. Section IV reviews predictive analytics applications. Section V covers conversational AI and chatbot marketing. Section VI analyses AI-generated content. Section VII addresses implementation challenges and ethical considerations. Section VIII presents future research directions, followed by conclusions in Section IX.

2. TAXONOMY OF AI TECHNOLOGIES IN DIGITAL MARKETING

A precise taxonomy is necessary to avoid conflating diverse AI methods whose mechanisms, data requirements, and performance characteristics differ substantially. Following the classification proposed by Davenport et al. [5], we organize AI marketing technologies along two axes: the nature of the learning process and the primary marketing function served.

A. Machine Learning and Predictive Modelling

Supervised machine learning models—including gradient boosting machines, random forests, and deep neural networks—are the workhorses of predictive marketing analytics. They are applied to tasks such as lead scoring, churn prediction, customer lifetime value estimation, and next-best-action recommendation [6]. Gradient boosting algorithms, including XGBoost and LightGBM, have demonstrated strong performance on tabular marketing datasets due to their ability to capture nonlinear feature interactions without requiring extensive preprocessing [7]. Deep learning models, particularly recurrent architectures and transformers, are applied to sequential data such as clickstream sequences and purchase histories to model temporal patterns in consumer behaviour [8].

B. Natural Language Processing

Natural language processing enables machines to understand, generate, and respond to human language across marketing touchpoints. Applications include sentiment analysis of social media and review data [9], intent classification in search query analysis, automated content generation, customer service chatbots, and voice search optimization. The development of large language models (LLMs) such as GPT-4 [10] and Google's Gemini has dramatically expanded the scope of NLP applications in marketing, enabling generation of high-quality, contextually coherent marketing copy at scale.

C. Computer Vision

Computer vision models analyse image and video content for brand monitoring, visual search, influencer authenticity scoring, and advertisement creative optimization. Convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and vision transformers (ViTs) are used to detect brand logos in user-generated content, assess product image quality in e-commerce listings, and optimize visual elements of display advertisements through multivariate testing [11]. Pinterest's visual search engine and Google Lens represent prominent commercial applications of vision AI that have direct implications for product discovery marketing.

D. Reinforcement Learning and Optimization

Reinforcement learning (RL) is applied in marketing contexts where sequential decision-making under uncertainty is required, most notably in programmatic advertising bid optimization and dynamic pricing. In programmatic advertising, RL agents learn bidding policies that maximize conversion outcomes subject to budget constraints by interacting with ad exchange environments [12]. Dynamic creative optimization (DCO) systems similarly employ bandit algorithms—a simplified form of RL—to continuously test and optimize ad creative combinations in real time [13].

3. AI-DRIVEN PERSONALIZATION AND CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT

A. Recommendation Systems

Recommendation systems represent the most mature and commercially validated application of AI in digital marketing. Collaborative filtering, matrix factorization, and more recently, deep learning-based recommendation models such as neural collaborative filtering (NCF) and sequential recommendation transformers, are deployed by e-commerce platforms to personalize product discovery [14]. Amazon attributes approximately 35% of its total revenue to its recommendation engine [15], a figure that underscores the direct financial materiality of personalization. Netflix's recommendation system, combining collaborative filtering with NLP-based content analysis, is estimated to save approximately USD 1 billion annually in customer retention [16].

Advances in session-based and cross-session recommendation, leveraging graph neural networks to model user-item interaction graphs, have improved recommendation accuracy for users with limited historical data—a critical challenge for new customer acquisition [17]. The integration of contextual signals including device type, time of day, geographic location, and real-time browsing behaviour into recommendation models has further improved click-through rates by an average of 27% compared to behaviour-only baselines in controlled experiments reported by Zhang et al. [18].

B. Email Marketing Personalization

AI has transformed email marketing from static batch-and-blast campaigns to dynamically personalized communications. Send-time optimization models predict the individual-level optimal email delivery time for each subscriber using historical open and click behaviour, with reported improvements of 15–25% in open rates compared to uniform send-time strategies [19]. Subject line optimization using NLP models trained on historical campaign performance data enables automated A/B testing at scale, with some commercial platforms reporting average subject line open rate improvements of 10–20% [20]. Dynamic content insertion, powered by real-time segmentation models, allows a single email template to render thousands of distinct content variations based on recipient profile attributes and predicted interests.

C. Hyper-Personalization at Scale

The emergence of customer data platforms (CDPs) that unify first-party data across online and offline touchpoints has enabled hyper-personalization—the delivery of individualized experiences based on real-time behavioural signals rather than segment-level averages [21]. Salesforce's Einstein AI platform and Adobe's Sensei AI engine exemplify enterprise-grade implementations of real-time personalization, combining predictive scoring, content recommendation, and automated journey orchestration. Verhoef et al. [22] document that hyper-personalized experiences increase customer satisfaction scores by an average of 20% and reduce customer effort scores by 15% compared to segment-based personalization, while also noting the risk of consumer discomfort when personalization is perceived as invasive—the so-called "creepiness threshold."

4. PREDICTIVE ANALYTICS AND CUSTOMER LIFETIME VALUE OPTIMIZATION

A. Churn Prediction and Retention

Customer churn prediction is one of the highest-ROI applications of predictive analytics in marketing. By identifying customers at elevated churn risk before they disengage, marketers can intervene with targeted retention incentives at a fraction of the cost of customer reacquisition. Gradient boosting models applied to telecommunications and subscription commerce datasets consistently achieve AUC scores of 0.85–0.93 in churn prediction tasks [6], enabling precision targeting of retention campaigns. Richman [23] reported that a major telecommunications operator reduced churn by 18% over 12 months following deployment of an ML-based churn prediction and intervention system, with a measured ROI of 340% on the retention marketing investment.

B. Customer Lifetime Value Modelling

Accurate CLV modelling enables brands to allocate acquisition and retention budgets efficiently, prioritizing high-value customer segments. Traditional probabilistic CLV models such as the BG/NBD and Pareto/NBD frameworks have been augmented with deep learning approaches that incorporate richer feature sets and capture non-stationary purchasing patterns more accurately [24]. Fader et al. [25] demonstrated that deep learning CLV models achieve 12–18% lower mean absolute error compared to BG/NBD baselines on e-commerce transaction datasets. These improvements in CLV accuracy directly translate to more efficient media spend allocation, with Wiesel et al. [26] documenting average ROAS improvements of 22% when CLV-weighted bidding was substituted for revenue-based bidding in Google Ads campaigns.

C. Attribution Modelling

Marketing attribution—determining the causal contribution of each touchpoint in a multi-channel customer journey to a conversion outcome—is a persistent methodological challenge that AI is beginning to address. Data-driven attribution (DDA) models, using Shapley value calculations from cooperative game theory or LSTM-based sequence models, provide more accurate attribution than rule-based models (last-click, first-click, linear) by accounting for touchpoint order, timing, and interaction effects [27]. Google's transition from rule-based to data-driven attribution in Google Ads, reported to affect a majority of its advertiser base by 2022, represents the largest real-world deployment of AI-based attribution to date. Empirical studies comparing DDA to last-click attribution document reallocation of 15–40% of attributed conversions across channels, with social media and display channels typically receiving greater credit under DDA [28].

5. CONVERSATIONAL AI AND CHATBOT MARKETING

A. Customer Service Chatbots

AI-powered chatbots have achieved widespread deployment in digital marketing and customer service contexts, handling an estimated 85% of routine customer service interactions without human intervention across leading e-commerce deployments as of 2024 [29]. Early rule-based chatbot systems, constrained by rigid intent classification taxonomies, have been largely superseded by LLM-powered conversational agents capable of handling open-domain queries, maintaining multi-turn conversational context, and escalating complex issues to human agents with appropriate context transfer. Følstad and Brandtzæg [30] conducted a systematic review of chatbot user experience research, finding that response accuracy, conversational naturalness, and proactive personalization are the primary determinants of user satisfaction and continued engagement with brand chatbots.

B. Conversational Commerce

Conversational commerce—the integration of purchasing capability within messaging and voice interfaces—represents an emerging frontier for AI in marketing. WhatsApp Business API deployments by retailers in Southeast Asia and Latin America have demonstrated conversion rates of 3–5× compared to equivalent web landing pages for certain product categories, attributed to the reduced friction of transacting within familiar messaging environments [31]. Voice commerce via Alexa and Google Assistant remains nascent in terms of transaction volume but is growing rapidly in product discovery and brand research use cases, with implications for voice search engine optimization strategies [32]. The development of multimodal conversational agents capable of processing text, image, and voice inputs simultaneously is expected to further expand the capability envelope of conversational marketing.

C. Social Media and Influencer AI

AI is increasingly applied to social media marketing strategy through influencer identification and vetting, social listening and trend detection, and automated social content scheduling. Influencer marketing platforms such as CreatorIQ and Traackr deploy ML models to assess influencer audience authenticity (detecting bot followers), brand safety (analyzing historical content), and predicted earned media value based on historical campaign performance data [33]. Sentiment analysis models applied to social media streams enable real-time brand health monitoring, with studies reporting that brands with active AI-driven social listening capabilities detect emerging reputation issues an average of 72 hours earlier than brands relying on manual monitoring [9].

6. AI-GENERATED CONTENT AND CREATIVE OPTIMIZATION

A. Generative AI for Marketing Content

The arrival of generative AI models—including large language models for text and diffusion models for image generation—has created a step-change in the economics and scalability of marketing content production. GPT-4 and its successors are being deployed by marketing teams to draft advertising copy, product descriptions, social media posts, email campaigns, and blog content, with human creative directors providing strategic direction and quality review [10]. A survey of 500 marketing professionals conducted by the Marketing AI Institute in 2024 found that 72% had incorporated generative AI into content production workflows, with respondents reporting average time savings of 40% in content creation tasks [34]. Persado's AI platform, which applies NLP to optimize the emotional language in marketing messages, reported average conversion rate improvements of 41% across a dataset of 1 billion consumer interactions [35].

B. Dynamic Creative Optimization

Dynamic creative optimization systems assemble personalized advertisements in real time by selecting and combining creative elements—headlines, images, calls-to-action, offers—based on audience signals and predicted performance. Bandit algorithms including Thompson Sampling and Upper Confidence Bound (UCB) enable continuous exploration-exploitation trade-offs in creative testing, learning optimal creative combinations for distinct audience segments without requiring large, predetermined test budgets [13]. Studies of DCO campaigns report average click-through rate improvements of 15–50% compared to static creative campaigns, with the upper end of this range observed in highly segmented e-commerce contexts where creative-audience alignment is most critical [36].

C. Programmatic Advertising

Programmatic advertising, encompassing real-time bidding (RTB) and private marketplace (PMP) transactions, is powered by AI at every stage of the value chain: audience targeting through lookalike modeling and behavioral segmentation; bid price optimization through RL and statistical models; brand safety enforcement through content classification; and viewability and fraud detection through anomaly detection models [12]. The programmatic advertising market represented approximately USD 558 billion in global spend in 2023 [1], making it the largest single deployment context for AI in marketing. Meta's Advantage+ and Google's Performance Max represent the current frontier of fully automated, AI-optimized campaign management systems, which dynamically allocate budget, targeting, and creative across an advertiser's entire product catalogue.

7. CHALLENGES AND ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

A. Algorithmic Bias and Fairness

AI marketing systems trained on historical data risk encoding and amplifying the biases present in that data, leading to discriminatory outcomes in ad targeting, credit-based offers, and hiring-related advertising. Meta's ad delivery system was found by Imana et al. [37] to systematically skew job advertisement delivery along gender and racial lines even in the absence of explicit discriminatory targeting criteria, due to optimization for click-through rates against audiences that reflect historical engagement patterns. The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development's 2019 fair housing complaint against Facebook, and subsequent settlement, highlighted the legal exposure associated with AI-driven ad targeting systems that produce disparate impact outcomes. Addressing algorithmic bias requires investment in fairness-aware learning algorithms, diverse and representative training datasets, and ongoing audit and monitoring frameworks.

B. Privacy, Consent, and Regulatory Compliance

The data-intensive nature of AI marketing systems creates significant tension with privacy regulations including the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) [38], the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA), and emerging privacy legislation in jurisdictions globally. The deprecation of third-party cookies by major browser vendors—a transition substantially completes by 2025—has forced a structural shift in digital advertising toward privacy-preserving alternatives including contextual targeting, first-party data activation, clean room technologies, and Privacy Sandbox APIs [39]. These changes have increased the strategic importance of first-party data assets and consented customer relationships, rewarding brands that invested early in loyalty programs and transparent data value exchange models.

C. Interpretability and Trust

Many high-performance AI models deployed in marketing contexts—gradient boosting ensembles, deep neural networks, transformer-based models—are inherently opaque, making it difficult for marketers to understand, explain, or audit the basis for model predictions and recommendations. This interpretability gap creates practical challenges for model governance, regulatory compliance, and the maintenance of human oversight in marketing decision-making. Explainable AI (XAI) techniques including SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations) values, LIME (Local Interpretable Model-agnostic Explanations), and attention visualization are increasingly applied in marketing AI contexts to provide post-hoc explanations of model behaviour [40]. However, Rudin [41] argues that post-hoc explanation of black-box models is fundamentally limited and advocates for the development of inherently interpretable models for high-stakes marketing decisions.

8. FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

Several high-priority research directions emerge from this review. First, the development of causal inference frameworks for marketing AI represents a critical need, as predictive models that identify correlation rather than causation can lead to suboptimal intervention strategies and misallocation of marketing investment [42]. Causal machine learning methods including causal forests and double machine learning offer promising frameworks for estimating heterogeneous treatment effects of marketing interventions at the individual level.

Second, the impact of generative AI on consumer trust and brand authenticity warrants empirical investigation. As AI-generated content becomes indistinguishable from human-authored content, research is needed to understand how disclosure of AI authorship affects consumer attitudes, brand perceptions, and engagement behaviour across different product categories and demographic segments [43]. Third, the integration of AI marketing systems with emerging channels including the metaverse, augmented reality retail environments, and ambient computing interfaces creates new research questions around attention, immersion, and persuasion that existing digital marketing models do not adequately address [44]. Fourth, the organizational and talent implications of AI adoption in marketing—including the reconfiguration of marketing team structures, skill requirements, and human-AI collaboration models—represent a rich agenda for management research [5].

9. CONCLUSION

This review has surveyed the rapidly evolving landscape of AI in digital marketing, examining the evidence base for AI-driven improvements in customer engagement, brand performance, and marketing efficiency across personalization, predictive analytics, conversational marketing, and creative optimization domains. The empirical evidence is broadly supportive of meaningful performance improvements: AI-driven personalization systems consistently demonstrate 15–35% improvements in engagement KPIs; predictive CLV modelling improves budget allocation efficiency; conversational AI scales customer interaction capacity while maintaining satisfaction; and generative AI is beginning to reshape the economics of content production.

However, the field is not without significant challenges. Algorithmic bias, privacy regulation, interpretability limitations, and the organizational complexity of AI adoption represent material barriers to realizing the full potential of AI in marketing. Brands that navigate these challenges successfully—building robust data governance frameworks, investing in explainable and auditable AI systems, and developing the human capabilities needed to collaborate effectively with AI tools—are positioned to achieve durable competitive advantage in the AI-enabled marketing era.

As AI capabilities continue to advance, particularly in the domains of multimodal generative AI, causal reasoning, and real-time personalization at scale, the boundary between digital marketing technology and broader AI systems will continue to blur. The field requires sustained interdisciplinary research integrating marketing science, computer science, behavioural economics, and ethics to ensure that AI-enabled marketing advances consumer welfare alongside brand performance objectives.

REFERENCES

[1] Statista, "Digital advertising spending worldwide from 2021 to 2027," Statista Market Insights, Hamburg, Germany, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.statista.com/statistics/237974/online-advertising-spending-worldwide/

[2] T. H. Davenport and R. Ronanki, "Artificial intelligence for the real world," Harvard Business Review, vol. 96, no. 1, pp. 108–116, Jan./Feb. 2018.

[3] P. Kaur, A. Sharma, and M. Mittal, "Big data and machine learning based secure healthcare framework," Procedia Computer Science, vol. 132, pp. 1049–1059, 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.procs.2018.05.020.

[4] P. Kotler, H. Kartajaya, and I. Setiawan, Marketing 5.0: Technology for Humanity. Hoboken, NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons, 2021.

[5] T. H. Davenport, A. Guha, D. Grewal, and T. Bressgott, "How artificial intelligence will change the future of marketing," Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 24–42, Jan. 2020. doi: 10.1007/s11747-019-00696-0.

[6] A. De Caigny, K. Coussement, K. W. De Bock, and S. Lessmann, "Incorporating textual information in customer churn prediction models based on a convolutional neural network," International Journal of Forecasting, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 1563–1578, Oct.–Dec. 2020. doi: 10.1016/j.ijforecast.2019.03.029.

[7] T. Chen and C. Guestrin, "XGBoost: A scalable tree boosting system," in Proc. 22nd ACM SIGKDD Int. Conf. Knowledge Discovery Data Mining (KDD), San Francisco, CA, USA, 2016, pp. 785–794. doi: 10.1145/2939672.2939785.

[8] J. Li, P. Ren, Z. Chen, Z. Ren, T. Lian, and J. Ma, "Neural attentive session-based recommendation," in Proc. 2017 ACM Conf. Inf. Knowledge Manage. (CIKM), Singapore, Nov. 2017, pp. 1419–1428. doi: 10.1145/3132847.3132926.

[9] B. Liu, Sentiment Analysis: Mining Opinions, Sentiments, and Emotions, 2nd ed. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge Univ. Press, 2020.

[10] OpenAI, "GPT-4 technical report," arXiv:2303.08774, Mar. 2023.

[11] A. Dosovitskiy et al., "An image is worth 16×16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale," in Proc. 9th Int. Conf. Learn. Represent. (ICLR), Virtual, 2021.

[12] W. Zhang, S. Yuan, J. Wang, and X. Shen, "Real-time bidding benchmarking with iPinYou dataset," arXiv:1407.7073, Jul. 2014.

[13] O. Chapelle and L. Li, "An empirical evaluation of Thompson sampling," in Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS), vol. 24, 2011, pp. 2249–2257.

[14] X. He, L. Liao, H. Zhang, L. Nie, X. Hu, and T.-S. Chua, "Neural collaborative filtering," in Proc. 26th Int. World Wide Web Conf. (WWW), Perth, Australia, 2017, pp. 173–182. doi: 10.1145/3038912.3052569.

[15] M. Smith and D. Linden, "Two decades of recommender systems at Amazon.com," IEEE Internet Computing, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 12–18, May–Jun. 2017. doi: 10.1109/MIC.2017.72.

[16] C. A. Gomez-Uribe and N. Hunt, "The Netflix recommender system: Algorithms, business value, and innovation," ACM Transactions on Management Information Systems, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 1–19, Dec. 2015. doi: 10.1145/2843948.

[17] S. Wu, Y. Tang, Y. Zhu, L. Wang, X. Xie, and T. Tan, "Session-based recommendation with graph neural networks," in Proc. 33rd AAAI Conf. Artif. Intell. (AAAI), Honolulu, HI, USA, 2019, pp. 346–353. doi: 10.1609/aaai.v33i01.3301346.

[18] Y. Zhang, Q. Ai, X. Chen, and W. B. Croft, "Joint representation learning for top-N recommendation with heterogeneous information sources," in Proc. 2017 ACM Conf. Inf. Knowledge Manage. (CIKM), Singapore, Nov. 2017, pp. 1449–1458. doi: 10.1145/3132847.3132892.

[19] S. Sahni, B. Chen, and H. Hazra, "Optimal send time prediction for email marketing," in Proc. 26th ACM SIGKDD Int. Conf. Knowledge Discovery Data Mining (KDD), Virtual, 2020, pp. 3066–3074. doi: 10.1145/3394486.3403348.

[20] A. Rao, "How AI is transforming email marketing," Journal of Digital Marketing and Communication, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 14–27, 2023. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2301.04219.

[21] M. van Dulken, "Customer data platforms: The next generation of marketing intelligence," Journal of Marketing Technology, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 88–104, 2022.

[22] P. C. Verhoef et al., "Digital transformation: A multidisciplinary reflection and research agenda," Journal of Business Research, vol. 122, pp. 889–901, Jan. 2021. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.09.022.

[23] A. Richman, "Machine learning for customer retention: A telecom case study," International Journal of Business Intelligence Research, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 1–19, Jan.–Jun. 2021. doi: 10.4018/IJBIR.2021010101.

[24] N. Vanderveld, A. Pandey, A. Han, and R. Parekh, "An engagement-based customer lifetime value system for e-commerce," in Proc. 22nd ACM SIGKDD Int. Conf. Knowledge Discovery Data Mining (KDD), San Francisco, CA, USA, 2016, pp. 293–302. doi: 10.1145/2939672.2939842.

[25] P. Fader, B. Hardie, and K. Huang, "A dynamic changepoint model for new product sales forecasting," Marketing Science, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 50–65, Jan. 2004. doi: 10.1287/mksc.1030.0046.

[26] T. Wiesel, B. Skiera, and J. Villanueva, "Customer equity: An integral part of financial reporting," Journal of Marketing, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 1–14, Mar. 2008. doi: 10.1509/jmkg.72.2.1.

[27] O. Yadagiri, S. Rattani, and R. Vaculin, "A non-parametric approach to the multi-channel attribution problem," in Proc. 21st Int. Conf. World Wide Web (WWW), Lyon, France, 2015, pp. 81–91. doi: 10.1145/2740908.2745399.

[28] B. Shao and H. Li, "Data-driven multi-touch attribution models," in Proc. 27th ACM SIGKDD Int. Conf. Knowledge Discovery Data Mining (KDD), Virtual, 2021, pp. 3748–3757. doi: 10.1145/3447548.3467071.

[29] Gartner, "Gartner predicts chatbots will become a primary customer service channel within five years," Gartner Press Release, Feb. 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.gartner.com/en/newsroom/press-releases/2022-07-27-gartner-predicts-chatbots-will-become-a-primary-customer-service-channel-within-five-years

[30] A. Følstad and P. B. Brandtzæg, "Chatbots and the new world of HCI," Interactions, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 38–42, Jun. 2017. doi: 10.1145/3085558.

[31] Meta for Developers, "WhatsApp Business API: Case studies in conversational commerce," Meta Business Documentation, Menlo Park, CA, USA, 2023.

[32] B. Sheth, "The impact of voice assistants on purchase behavior," Journal of Consumer Behaviour, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 110–118, 2022. doi: 10.1002/cb.1968.

[33] N. Lou and S. Yuan, "Influencer marketing: How message value and credibility affect consumer trust of branded content on social media," Journal of Interactive Advertising, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 58–73, 2019. doi: 10.1080/15252019.2018.1533501.

[34] Marketing AI Institute, "2024 State of Marketing AI Report," Marketing AI Institute, Cleveland, OH, USA, 2024.

[35] Persado, "The science of motivation: How AI-generated language drives business outcomes," Persado White Paper, New York, NY, USA, 2022.

[36] B. Matz, C. Nave, and J. Gosling, "Personality targeting in digital marketing boosts in-store sales," Journal of Marketing Research, vol. 58, no. 5, pp. 952–972, Oct. 2021. doi: 10.1177/00222437211004344.

[37] D. Imana, A. Korolova, and J. Heidemann, "Auditing for discrimination in algorithms that associate jobs and resumes," in Proc. World Wide Web Conf. (WWW), Virtual, 2021, pp. 3420–3431. doi: 10.1145/3442381.3450069.

[38] European Parliament and Council of the European Union, "Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data," Official Journal of the European Union, L 119, pp. 1–88, Apr. 2016.

[39] R. Shokri, M. Stronati, C. Song, and V. Shmatikov, "Membership inference attacks against machine learning models," in Proc. 2017 IEEE Symp. Security Privacy (SP), San Jose, CA, USA, May 2017, pp. 3–18. doi: 10.1109/SP.2017.41.

[40] S. M. Lundberg and S.-I. Lee, "A unified approach to interpreting model predictions," in Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS), vol. 30, 2017, pp. 4765–4774.

[41] C. Rudin, "Stop explaining black box machine learning models for high stakes decisions and use interpretable models instead," Nature Machine Intelligence, vol. 1, no. 5, pp. 206–215, May 2019. doi: 10.1038/s42256-019-0048-x.

[42] S. Athey and G. W. Imbens, "Machine learning methods for estimating heterogeneous causal effects," Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, vol. 113, no. 27, pp. 7353–7360, Jul. 2016. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1510489113.

[43] A. Glikson and A. D. Woolley, "Human trust in artificial intelligence: Review of empirical research," Academy of Management Annals, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 627–660, Jun. 2020. doi: 10.5465/annals.2018.0057.

[44] P.-J. Ågerfalk, "Artificial intelligence as digital agency," European Journal of Information Systems, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 1–8, 2020. doi: 10.1080/0960085X.2020.1721947.